

m.A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/885,884	06/20/2001	Michael R. Thompson	PKR 2 0659 US	4522
75	590 08/13/2003			
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN MINNICH & McKEE, LLP Seventh Floor 1100 Superior Avenue			EXAMINER SHAW, SHAWNA JEANNINE	
			Cleveland, OH 44114-2518	
			3737	
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	\mathcal{I}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/885,884	THOMPSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shawna J. Shaw	3737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce and the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 s	lune 2001 and 30 August 20	<u>001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	l.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	_						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-11 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>3-8,12 and 20-23</u> is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2001</u> is/are:	a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	oly to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the phased array receive coil must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 14, it is unclear whether the same or different RF coils are used for exciting magnetic resonance and encoding/reading the magnetic resonance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

For examination purposes, the examiner interprets the first image data/representation as encompassing T1-weighted, T2-weighted, T2*-weighted, and/or before contrast- image data/representations and further interprets T2- weighted images as those based primarily on T2 parameters.

3. Claims 1, 9-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Uematsu et al. of record.

Regarding claims 1 and 9-11, Uematsu et al. teach a quantitative double-echo MRI method including administering a contrast agent such as gadopentate dimeglumine, obtaining first and second images and subsequently correcting the T2- or T2*-weighted image with the first image (see figures 1 and 2 and pp.912-915).

Regarding claim 13, Uematsu et al. teach a quantitative double-echo MRI method including administering a contrast agent and obtaining T1- and T2- weighted data (see pp. 912-914).

4. Claims 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frederick et al.

Frederick et al. teach an MRI method for obtaining first and second image representations using a contrast agent (col. 6 lines 45-48), a plurality of RF coils (11) and a standard EPI system. See also col. 3 lines 32-48 and col. 7 lines 11-23.

Art Unit: 3737

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwok et al.

Regarding claim 19, Kwok et al. teach an interleaved water and fat dual-echo MRI device including a main magnet (104), RF system (102), sorter (506), gradient magnetic field system (103), controller (106) for obtaining T1 and T2 weighted data and means for reconstructing the obtained data into first and second image representations (see fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uematsu et al. of record in view of Loncar et al.

Art Unit: 3737

Regarding claim 2, Uematsu et al. differs from the claimed invention in that an RF inversion pulse is not addressed explicitly. Loncar et al. provide the general teaching of obtaining first and second images of different contrast in a single scan using refocusing/inversion pulses (72) between readouts. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use an inversion pulse between readouts as taught by Loncar et al. in the invention as taught by Uematsu et al. to more effectively isolate differently weighted image data and as is well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3-8, 12 and 20-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 15, 16 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6,583,623, 6,075,362, 5,268,640, 5,168,226 and 4,734,646 address methods for obtaining at least two differently weighted images, however do not disclose administration of a contrast agent.

Art Unit: 3737

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawna J. Shaw whose telephone number is (703) 308-2985. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marvin Lateef can be reached on (703) 308-3256. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Shawna J. Shaw Primary Examiner

August 11, 2003